

*A.S. for*  
*#13/Reconsideration*  
*9-2203*

Michael T. Rossides  
11167 E. Mirasol Circle, Scottsdale, AZ 85255  
480-515-3630 (H), 602-295-4987 (C), [rossides@cox.net](mailto:rossides@cox.net)

9/8/03

Re: Application 09/536,727  
Examiner: Yehdega Retta  
Art Unit: 3622

## INTRODUCTION

Dear Examiner Retta:

Regarding application 09/536,727, the Office Action of 08/01/2003 rejected my request for reconsideration, including new claim 5, because my argument did not explain properly the patentable subject matter of my invention over the prior art, i.e., "Applicant's argument should be based on what the prior art does not teach or suggest as claimed by Applicant's invention."

In light of this Office Action, I hereby cancel claim 5 and submit claim 6 (pages 2-3 below).

As requested, I will state "what the prior art does not teach or suggest as claimed by my invention," pointing out the patentable novelty in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited, as required by 37 CFR 1.111c, cited in Rule 714.02 of the MPEP.

## CONTENTS of this RESPONSE (REQUEST for RECONSIDERATION)

NEW CLAIM 6	2-3
REMARKS on REVISIONS	4
REMARKS on the PRIOR ART	5-11
Illustrative Example	11-12
Signature	13